**FIRST INFORMATION REPORT**

( UNDER SECTION 154 CR.P.C.)

1. **District : Jind P.S. Jind City Year: 2017**

FIR No.: 210 Dated: 3/3/2017

1. **Sr. No. Acts Sections**
2. IPC 1860 323
3. IPC 1860 452
4. IPC 1860 506
5. MEDICARE SERVICE PERSONS 3

AND MEDICARE SERVICE INSTITUTIONS

(PREVENTION AND DAMAGE OF PROPERTY)

ACT-2008)

1. **Occurrence of offence:**
2. Day : Friday Date from: 3/3/2017 Date To 3/3/2017

Time Period Time From 17:30 Time To

Pahar 6 hrs 17:30hrs

1. Information received at P.S. Date: Time:

3/3/2017 22.45hrs

1. General Dairy Reference: Entry No. Time

41 22.45 hrs

1. **Time of Information:** Written
2. **Place of Occurrence:**
3. Direction and Distance from P.S. : EAST BEAT No.

3 K.m Urban Estate,HBC,

Bus Stand

1. Address: ASHTHA CHILD HOSPITAL, GOHANA ROAD , JIND
2. In case, outside the limit of this Police Station ,Then Name of P.S.

District (State)

1. **Complainant/informant :**
2. Name: Dr. SONAL SINGAL
3. Father, s Name : SATISH KUMAR MITTAL
4. Date/Year of Birth d) Nationality: INDIA
5. UID No.:
6. F) Passport No.

Date of Issue : Place of Issue:

1. Occupation:
2. Address:

Sr. No. Address Type Address

1. Present Address ASHTHA CHILD HOSPITAL GOHANA, ASHTH CHILD HOSPITAL GOHANA ROAD JIND. HARYANA.
2. Permanent Address: ASHTHA CHILD HOSPITAL GOHANA ROAD JIND. HARYANA.
3. Phone No,: Mobile : 999 2029 988
4. **Details of known/suspected/ unknown accused with full particulars:**

Sr. No. Name Alias Relative’s Name

1 POONAM Husband: ASHOK AHLAWAT

2 ASHOK KUMAR

AHLAWAT

1. **Reasons for delay in reporting by the complainant/informant :**
2. **Particulars of properties stolen (In Rs.)**

Sr. No. Property Type Value :( In Rs.--)

1. **Total Value of Property stolen ( In Rs.--)**
2. **Inquest Report /UD Case No. if any :**

S.No. U.D. Number

**12. First Information contents:**

**13. Action taken : Since the above information reveals commission of offence(s) u/s as mentioned at item No.2.**

1) Registered the case and took up the investigation:

2) Directed ( Name of I.O.) Rank: ASI

No. 221 to take up the investigation or

3) Refused investigation due to or

4) Transferred to P.S. District:

On point of jurisdiction

FIR read to the complainant/informant, admitted to be correctly recorded and a copy given to the complainant/informant, free of cost.

**R.O.A.C.**

**14. signature/Thumb Signature of Officer in charge ,Police**

Impression of the Station

Complainant/informant Name: Nar Singh

Rank: Inspector

No. 229-A

**15. Date and Time of Dispatch to the court:**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M- no. OF 2017**

**MEMO OF PARTIES**

1. Poonam W/O Sh. Ashok Kumar Ahlawat
2. Ashok Kumar Ahlawat

both resident of house no. 3591-A, Urban Estate –Jind (Haryana)

------------------ Petitioners

VERSUS

1. State of Haryana
2. Dr. Sonal Singal s/o Sh. Satish Kumar Singhal

resident of Ashtha Child Hospital Gohana Road ,Jind ( Haryana)

----------------------- Respondents

Chandigarh                                 (SURESH AHLAWAT)

Date:  .7.2017                               Advocate

Counsel for Petitioners

Petition under Section 482 Cr. P. C. for quashing the FIR No. 210 dated 3.3.2017 under Sections 323,452, 506 and Section 3 of Medicare Service Persons and Medicare Service Institutions (Prevention of violence and damage of property ) ACT-2008, P.S. City Jind ( Annexure P-1) and subsequent proceeding arising thereto on the basis of compromise entered on the parties dated 20.6.2017 (annexure P-2)

With a further prayer that during the pendency of the present petition before this Hon,ble Court, further proceeding arising out of the FIR in question may kindly be stayed.

**RESPECTFULLY SHOWTH:**

1. That the petitioners are peace loving citizens of this country and are residents of State of Haryana and are thus competent to invoke the extra ordinary jurisdiction of this Hon,ble Court under Section 482 Cr. P. C. for redressal of her/his grievances.
2. That an FIR No. 210 dated 3.3.2017, under Sections 323,452, 506 and Section 3 of Medicare Service Persons and Medicare Service Institutions (Prevention of violence and damage of property) ACT-2008, Police station City Jind ( Annexure P-1) was registered against the petitioners on the basis of statement of respondent no.2. The true translated copy of FIR dated 3.3.2017 is annexed herewith as **Annexure P-1.**
3. That after registration of the FIR the respectable of the locality and relatives of both the parties have got the compromise effected between the petitioners and complainant/ respondent no. 2 and in view of the said compromise, both the parties to the compromise do not want to pursue the criminal proceedings pending between them before the trial court, Jind and compromise entered between the parties are annexed herewith as **annexure P-2.**
4. That now no differences have remained between the complainant and petitioners. They have accepted the compromise for their welfare and benefit of the families and both parties want to live peacefully and the quashing of FIR is essential for harmony in the society.
5. That now both the parties entered into compromise and there is no dispute pending between the parties and the entire matter had already settled between the parties.
6. That the grievance of respondent no.2 i.e. complainant of the present case have been redressed and now respondent no.2 do not want to pursue the matter anymore and the parties want to live peacefully in future as no useful purpose would be served by long continuing litigation between the parties. The compromise got affected between the parties is without undue influence, coercion and pressure and is the result of free consent of the parties for their better peaceful future.
7. That as per the compromise ( Annexure P-2) and with the intervention of respectable of society, the matter has been amicably settled.
8. That it is also worth mentioning here the respondent no.2 i.e. complainant of this case is also ready to make statement before this Hon,ble Court, if the Hon,ble may deem fit. The true typed copy of the AADHAR Card of the respondent no.2 is attached herewith as **Annexure P-3.**
9. That there is no other alternative and efficacious speedy remedy available to the petitioners except the extra ordinary jurisdiction of this hon,ble High Court under section 482 Cr.P.C.
10. That the petitioners have not filed any such or similar petition either in this hon,ble Court or in the Hon,ble Supreme Court.
11. That it is pertinent to mention here that the none of the petitioners is proclaimed offender.
12. That all the affected persons have been impleaded as party in the petition and that there is no other person left to be impleaded as party whose rights are likely to be prejudiced by quashing of FIR

It is, therefore respectfully prayed that the petition may kindly be accepted and the FIR No. 210 dated 3.3.2017, under sections 323,452, 506 And Section 3 of Medicare Service Persons and Medicare Service Institutions (prevention of violence and damage of property) ACT-2008,Police Station City Jind ( Annexure P-1) and subsequent proceeding arising thereto may kindly be quashed on the basis of compromise entered into between the parties dated ( Annexure P-2) in the interest of justice.

With a further prayer that during the pendency of the present petition before this Honble Court , further proceedings arising out of the FIR in question , may kindly be stayed.

Further prayer that filing of certified/ true typed copies of annexure , may kindly be exempted , in the interest of justice.

Note :1. AFFIDAVIT IS ATTACHED.

2.That the AADHAR of the respondent no.2 is enclosed.

Chandigarh                                 (SURESH AHLAWAT)

Date:  .6.2019                               Advocate

Counsel for Petitioners

**MUTUAL COMPROMISE**

That case FIR No. 210 dated 3.3.2017 , under sections under Sections 323,452, 506 AND Section 3 of Medicare Service Persons and Medicare Service Institutions (Prevention of violence and damage of property) ACT-2008 , Police station City Jind was registered on the complaint of the complainant Dr. Sonal Sighal resident of Ashtha Child Hospital Gohana Road ,Jind against the two persons namely Ashok Kumar Ahlawat and his wife Smt. Poonam resident of house no. 3591-A Urban Estate –Jind. The above titled FIR case is pending in the Court of CJM, Jind and is fixed for 0. The respectable persons of the locality and relatives of the parties advised to compromise with each other for the welfare of families of both the parties. Now both the parties have compromised this matter on their own free will and without any pressure or any temptation. They have made sweet relations with each other. No differences have remained between the parties . They have accepted the compromise for their welfare and benefit of the families. In view of the compromise, both the parties have signed the compromise and the complainant has no objection if this FIR is quashed by the Hon,ble Court and the complainant does not want to pursue the case. The compromise has been read over to the complainant. This compromise has been written and signed by the complainant without any pressure and other relatives and respectable have also signed the same. Copy of this compromise has been retained by the parties.

**First party Second Party**

Dr. Sonal Singhal 1. Ashok Ahlawat

(Complainant)

2. Poonam w/o Sh. Ashok Ahlawat

**Affidavit**

I, Dr. Sonal Singal s/o Sh. Satish Kumar Singhal resident of Ashtha Child Hospital Gohana Road Jind , do hereby solemnly affirm and declare as under:-

1. That the deponent is resident of above mentioned address.
2. That a case FIR No. 210 dated 3.3.2017 , under sections under Sections 323,452, 506 and section 3 of Medicare Service Persons and Medicare Service Institutions (Prevention of violence and damage of property) ACT-2008) Police station City Jind was registered on the complaint of the deponent against the two persons Ashok Kumar Ahlawat and his wife Smt. Poonam resident of house no. 3591-A Urban Estate –Jind. The above titled FIR case is pending in the Court of CJM, Jind.
3. That the respectable persons of the locality and relatives of the parties advised to compromise with each other for the welfare of families of both the parties.
4. That deponent and accused person has compromised on their own free will and without any pressure or any temptation. They have made sweet relations with each other. No differences have remained between the deponent and accused persons.
5. That the deponent has accepted the compromise for the welfare and benefit of the families of both the parties
6. That in view of the compromise, the deponent and accused persons have signed the compromise and the deponent has no objection if this FIR is quashed by the Hon,ble Court and the complainant does not want to pursue the case.

DEPONENT

VERIFICATION:-

Verified that all the contents of this affidavit are true and correct to best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed therein.

DEPONENT

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M- No. --------- of 2017

Poonam and Another ……………Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and Another ………..…Respondents

Short Affidavit of Ashok s/o Sh. Ram Parshad resident of 3591-A, Urban Estate –Jind.

I, the above named deponent do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath as under: -

1. That the contents of the accompanying petition which are true and correct, but for want of brevity have not been reproduced in this affidavit.

*2 That no such or similar petition has earlier been filed by the petitioner either in this Hon,ble court or the Apex Court nor pending before any District court on the same cause of action.*

CHANDIGARH

DATED .

*VERIFICATION*

Verified that the contents of my above affidavit are true and correct to my knowledge. No part of it is false and nothing has been kept concealed therein.

CHANDIGARH

DATED

**FIRST INFORMATION REPORT**

( UNDER SECTION 154 CR.P.C.)

1. **District : GURGAON P.S. SECTOR-5 Year: 2016**

FIR No.: 295 Dated: 13/05/2016

1. **Sr. No. Acts Sections**
2. IPC 1860 279
3. IPC 1860 337
4. IPC 1860 338

1. **Occurrence of offence:**
2. Day : MONDAY Date from:09/05/2016 Date To 9/5/2016

Time Period Time From 14:30 Time To

Pahar 5 hrs 14:40hrs

1. Information received at P.S. Date: Time:

33/05/2016 19.20hrs

1. General Dairy Reference: Entry No. Time
2. 20:00 hrs
3. **Time of Information:** Written
4. **Place of Occurrence:**
5. Direction and Distance from P.S. : BEAT No.

SOUTH.1.3Km

1. Address: SEC.7 GURGAON
2. In case, outside the limit of this Police Station ,Then Name of P.S.

District (State)

1. **Complainant/informant :**
2. Name: NEETU
3. Father, s Name : PANKJ JUNEJA
4. Date/Year of Birth d) Nationality: INDIA

e)UID No.:

1. F) Passport No.

Date of Issue : Place of Issue:

g)Occupation:

h) Address:

Sr. No. Address Type Address

1. Present Address 1036/29 Krishana Colony

Sector-5,Gurgaon 1036/29 Krishana Colony

2. Permanent Address Sector-5,Gurgaon

Phone No,:

1. **Details of known/suspected/ unknown accused with full particulars:**

Sr. No. Name Alias Relative’s Name

1. **Reasons for delay in reporting by the complainant/informant :**
2. **Particulars of properties stolen (In Rs.)**

Sr. No. Property Type Value :( In Rs.--)

1. **Total Value of Property stolen ( In Rs.--)**
2. **Inquest Report /UD Case No. if any :**

S.No. U.D. Number

**12. First Information contents:**

TO

INCHARGE, POLICE POST

SECTOR 4/7 GURGAON

SIR

It is requested that I ( Neetu w/o Sh. Pankaj Juneja) am resident of 1036/29 Krishana Colony Gurgaon . My daughter Jiya who studies in Third Class, Section –B in RYAN INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL SECTOR-31 GURGAON, on 9.5.2016 at about 2.30 p.m. came my house from route no. 16 and I , Neetu ( mother of girl ) was standing on the stop for picking her , on which school bus used to come daily to pick- up my girl but on 9.5.2016 said vehicle come from opposite side instead of right side and there was no attendant on the said bus neither morning nor at moon. Driver of bus did not know about the bus stop of the Jiya being new and he proceed further from the stop perhaps he may have stopped the bus on the asking of Jiya , he dropped my daughter on the road without any attendant and when child started to cross the road than a vehicle came from high speed , hit my daughter , who is driving the vehicle rashly and negligently manner and upon which my daughter became un conscience as she fell down . The vehicle which hit my child was of white colour as it seen by me. I picked up my child with the help of public and took her in the Aryan Hospital. After getting the first-aid, my family members took her in Medanta Hospital Gorgaon .This accident occurred due to the negligence and carelessness of the driver of the bus, route no. 16 of Rayan International School and unknown vehicle . This vehicle may be searched and legal action would be taken against this vehicle and legal action would also be taken against the school bus but we already given a written application to you for taking time for recording the statement and again I also given a Witten application to you and legal action would be taken against these persons.

Sd-

Neetu

13/5/2016

**13. Action taken : Since the above information reveals commission of offence(s) u/s as mentioned at item No.2.**

1) Registered the case and took up the investigation:

2) Directed ( Name of I.O.) Sanjay Kumar Rank: Head Constable

No. 34 ggn to take up the investigation or

3) Refused investigation due to or

4) Transferred to P.S. District:

On point of jurisdiction

FIR read to the complainant/informant, admitted to be correctly recorded and a copy given to the complainant/informant, free of cost.

**R.O.A.C.**

**14. signature/Thumb Signature of Officer in charge ,Police**

Impression of the Station

Complainant/informant Name: Slailender Singh

Rank: Inspector

No. PInsp

**15. Date and Time of Dispatch to the court:**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M- no. OF 2017**

**MEMO OF PARTIES**

Vinod aged 45 years son of Sh. Bharat Singh, resident of village and post office Carterpuri, Tehsil and District Gurugram.

------------------ Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

------------------- Respondent

Chandigarh                                 (SURESH AHLAWAT)

Date:  .7.2017                               Advocate

Counsel for Petitioner

Petition under section 482 of Cr.P.C for quashing/setting -aside impugned order dated 11.7.2017 (Annexure P-2) qua to the petitioner passed by the Ld. JMIC Gurugram vide which, the petitioner has been charge sheeted under Section 304-A of IPC and impugned order dated 3.11.2017 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Ld. ASJ Gurugram vide which, revision petition of the petitioner has been erroneously dismissed.

And further prayer, the charge sheet dated 11.7.2017 Annexure (P-3) may kindly be set-aside/quashed qua the petitioner and petitioner be discharged against the charge i.e 304 –A of IPC framed against him, in the interest of justice.

**RESPECTFULLY SHOWTH:**

1. That the petitioner is peace loving citizen of this country and is resident of State of Haryana and is thus competent to invoke the extra ordinary jurisdiction of this Hon,ble Court under Section 482 Cr. P. C. for redressal of his grievances.
2. That sum and substance of the prosecution case as reflected in charge sheet/FIR, is that complainant moved an application in the police station with the allegations that the accused person Vinod who was driving the bus on that fateful day was new on that route and did not know about the stop of the deceased Jiya perhaps, the accused stopped the bus on Jiya,s ( deceased ) request , a little ahead of the stop. There was no attendant in the bus , in morning and afternoon time. Without the attendant they alighted the Jiya on road and while crossing the road a fast speed white car which was being driven rashly and negligently hit the baby Jiya. The bus driver and the unknown vehicle are responsible of the accident. A true translated copy of FIR dated 13.5.2016 is attached herewith as **Annexure P-1.** It is pertinent to mention here that Smt. Sushma was deputed as attendant in the school bus she is also facing trial .
3. That the impugned orders are liable to be set aside as the same are based upon conjectures and surmises, against the law and the contents of the report under section 173 Cr.P.C.
4. That charge was framed against the petitioner (driver ) and Sushma (school bus attendant) in the above cited case under section 304-A of IPC vide order 11.7.2017 by the Ld. JMIC Gurugram .A true copy of charge framed against the petitioner and in this regard order passed by Ld. JMIC Gurugram are attached herewith as **Annexure P-2 and P-3** respectively .Than petitioner filled revision petition against the order dated 11.7.2017 before the Ld. Additional Session Judge Gurugram . However the Ld. ASJ dismissed the revision petition filled by the petitioner. A certified copy of order dated 3.11.2017 is attached herewith **as Annexure P-3.**
5. That the petitioner is a driver of the school bus. The child was alighted on the bus and has met with the accident with other vehicle . The job of handling the children and alighted the children of the school bus is of the attendant, is not of the driver . Petitioner being driver has to follow the instructions of the bus attendant regarding stopping of the bus or moving of the bus.
6. That the deceased Jiya daughter of the complainant was successfully alighted from the school bus and while trying to cross the road, child has to be taken care by the attendant of the school bus till the child reached to the destination or join the company of the person available of receiving the child on the stop.
7. That in such circumstances petitioner being a driver cannot be held responsible for negligence or the offence having being charge sheeted, the ingredients of the offence under section 304-A of IPC is not made out against the petitioner specially in the above facts and circumstances as well as when the petitioner has been discharged from offence under sections 279,337and 338 of IPC .
8. That from the bare reading of the contents of the FIR , no offence is made out against the present petitioner . The complainant has not made any allegation against the petitioner .
9. That the law of land is that there must be a prima facie case against the accused to frame charge but there is no prime facie evidence against the accused and he must be discharged under section 239 of Cr.P.C.
10. That the accident was caused while crossing the road by the high speeding white colour vehicle due to the rashness and negligent at the part of unknown vehicle.
11. That no such or similar petition has earlier been filled on behalf of the petitioner in this Hon,ble or in the Hon,ble Supreme Court of India as per instructions supplied to the counsel.

It is, therefore respectfully prayed that the present petition may kindly be accepted and impugned order dated 11.7.2017 (Annexure P-2) passed by the Ld. JMIC Gurugram vide which, the petitioner has been charge sheeted under Section 304-A of IPC and impugned order dated 3.11.2017 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Ld. ASJ Gurugram vide which, revision petition of the petitioner has been erroneously dismissed, be set-aside/quashed, qua the petitioner in the interest of justice .

And further prayer, the charge sheet dated 11.7.2017 Annexure (P-3) may kindly be set-aside/quashed qua the petitioner and petitioner be discharged against the charge i.e. 304 –A of IPC framed against him, in the interest of justice.

It is further prayed that during the pendency of this case , further proceeding before the court of Ld. JMIC Gurugram be stayed till the final decision of this case by this Hon,ble Court.

It is further prayed that petitioner may kindly be exempt from filing the certified/true typed copies of annexures in the interest of justice.

Chandigarh                                 (SURESH AHLAWAT)

Date:  .12.2017                               Advocate

Counsel for Petitioner

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M- no. OF 2017**

Vinod ------------------ Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana ------------------- Respondent

INDEX

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sr. No. | Particulars | Date | Page | Court Fee |  |
| 1 | Petition u/s 482 Cr.P.C | 10.12.2017 |  |  |  |
| 2. | Affidavit | 10.12.2017 |  |  |  |
| 3. | Annexure P-1 (FIR) | 13.5.2016 |  |  |  |
| 4 | Annexure P-2 Order passed by Ld. JMIC | 11.7.2017 |  |  |  |
| 5. | Annexure P-3 Charge Sheet | 11.7.2017 |  |  |  |
| 6. | Annexure P-4 Order passed by  Ld. ASJ | 3.11.2017 |  |  |  |
| 7 | Power of Attorney | 2.12.2107 |  |  |  |
| 8 | Annexure P-5 Vernacular FIR |  |  |  |  |

Chandigarh                                 (SURESH AHLAWAT)

Date:  .7.2017                               Advocate

Counsel for Petitioner

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M- no. OF 2017

Vinod ------------------ Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana ------------------- Respondent

Short affidavit of Vinod son of Sh. Bharat Singh, resident of village and post office Carterpuri, Tehsil and District Gurugram.

I, the above named deponent do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath as under: -

1. That the contents of the accompanying petition which are true and correct, but for want of brevity have not been reproduced in this affidavit.

*2 That no such or similar petition has earlier been filed by the petitioner either in this Hon,ble court or Hon.ble Supreme Court.*

CHANDIGARH

DATED .

*VERIFICATION*

Verified that the contents of my above affidavit are true and correct to my knowledge. No part of it is false and nothing has been kept concealed therein.

CHANDIGARH

DATED

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM no. OF 2018

IN

CRA No. 786-DB 0f 2017

In Re:

Ajit and Other ------------Appellants

VERSUS

State of Haryana ------------------- Respondents

First Application under Section 389 read with Section 482 of Cr.P.C. praying for suspension of sentence and grant of bail to the applicants /appellants during the pendency of Criminal appeal No. 786-DB of 2017 in this Hon,ble Court.

Respectfully Showeth:-

1. That applicants/appellants have been filed present appeal against the judgment of conviction dated 18.7.2017 and order of sentence dated 21.7.2017 passed by the Ld. Additional Sessions Judge, Hisar.
2. That applicants/appellants have been convicted and sentenced by the Court of ld. Addl. Sessions Judge, Hisar on 18/21.7.2017 **as under:-**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sr.  No. | Offence  u/ss | imprisonment | Fine | In default of payment of fine |
| 1. | 148 IPC | Rigorous imprisonment for three years | Rs 1,000/- | Further undergo  Rigorous imprisonment for one month |
| 2 | 302/149 IPC | Imprisonment for life | Rs. 10,000/- | Further undergo  Rigorous imprisonment for two years |
| 3 | 328/149 IPC | Rigorous imprisonment for seven years | Rs. 5,000/- | Further undergo  Rigorous imprisonment for one years |
| 4 | 364/149IPC | Rigorous imprisonment for ten years | Rs. 10,000/- | Further undergo  Rigorous imprisonment for two years |
| 5 | 323/149IPC | Rigorous imprisonment for one years | Rs. 500/- | Further undergo  Rigorous imprisonment for one month |
| 6 | 325/149IPC | Rigorous imprisonment for four years | Rs. 5,000/- | Further undergo  Rigorous imprisonment for one month |

All the substantive sentences shall run concurrently.

1. That the grounds of appeal may kindly be read as a part of this application.
2. That the applicants/appellants have undergone 5 years 7 months . Applicants/ appellants are in continuously custody since from 24.6.2012 i.e from the date of their arrest after the registration of the FIR no.108 dated 22.5.2012 u/s 302/328/364/323/325/148/149 IPC P.S. Agroha District Hisar.
3. That the case of the applicants/appellants’ is fully covered by the judgment of this Humble Court in Dharam Pal versus State of Haryana , 1999 (4) RCR (Criminal) 600, therefore they craves kind indulgence of this Humble Court for suspension of sentence and grant of bail during the pendency of the present appeal in this Humble Court.
4. That the appeal is not likely to be listed for hearing in the near future.
5. That the applicants/appellants have a good case of acquittal on merits.
6. That the applicants/appellants had not earlier applied for bail.
7. That now the applicants/appellants is applying for suspension of sentence and grant of bail as per the law laid down in case Dharam Pal versus state of Haryana , 1994(4) RCR ( Criminal) 600 , they are entitled for suspension of sentence and grant of bail during the pendency of present appeal.

It is ,therefore, respectfully prayed that the present application be allowed and the sentence imposed upon the applicants/appellants may kindly be suspended during the pendency of the appeal and they be ordered to be released on bail in the interest of justice.

Chandigarh SURESH AHLAWAT

Dated 5.2.2018 ADVOCATE

Counsel for the Applicants/appellants

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM no. OF 2018

IN

CRA No. 786-DB 0f 2017

(now confined in Distt. Jail Hisar)

In Re:

Ajit and Other ------------Appellants

VERSUS

State of Haryana ------------------- Respondents

Memo of Parties

1. Ajit son of Raj Kumar (aged about 46 years)
2. Subodh@ Babloo son of Raj Kumar ( aged about ) 32 years) both resident of Village Landhari, Police Station Agroha, Disttt. Hisar. ----------------- Appellants

VERSUS

State of Haryana -------------- Respondent

Chandigarh SURESH AHLAWAT

Dated 5.2.2018 ADVOCATE

Counsel for the Applicants/appellants

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM no. OF 2018

IN

CRA No. 786-DB 0f 2017

Ajit and Other ------------Appellants

VERSUS

State of Haryana ------------------- Respondents

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sr. No. | Contents | Date | Pages |
| 1 | Application u/s 389 r/w section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for suspension of sentence | 5. 2.2018 |  |
| 2. | Memo of Parties | 5. 2.2018 |  |

Note- Power of attorney already on record

Chandigarh SURESH AHLAWAT

Dated 5.2.2018 ADVOCATE

Counsel for the Applicants/appellants

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT

( UNDER SECTION 154 CR.P.C.)

1.District : Vigilance Bureau P.S. SVB Karnal Year: 2019

FIR No.:008 Dated: 22.3.2019

2.Sr. No. Acts Sections

Prevention of Corruption Act-1988 7,13

Xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

1. Contents of FIR:

To

The Inspector.

S.V.B. Jind

It is submitted that I Surjit Singh alias Sita son of Radha cast Jat village Budha-Khera Police Station –Safidon permanent resident of Distt. Jind. That on 7.3.2019 a scuffle taken place between the boys of our village and boys of village Beri-Khera. An FIR no.37 dated 7.3.2019 was registered in P.S. Pillukhera. In which case , my son Neeraj and Sahil,Sachin were arrested and Bharat s/o Sh. Chaina resident of village Budha-Khera remained to be arrested . There are some other persons involved in this case and two motorcycle were also taken in possession by the police. When I met the police officer Mr. Jasbir in Police Station –Pillu-Khera then he said that these 4/5 persons and one person Bharat would be released from the case and I also released the motorcycle for which , Rs. 15,000/- will have to be paid. I told my inability then he asked me to pay Rs. 10,000/- . I do not want to give money as bribery and if I will not give said money then he will not do any work. So, action be taken against police officer Jasbir. Obliged .

Sd- Surjit Ph. 94169-36134